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Basics of "Grace Period" in Japan

2. Exceptions to Loss of Novelty

Art. 30: Exceptions to loss of novelty

Principle
Any subject matter that has been disclosed prior to the filing of an application cannot be patented.

But
In some cases, this may be too strict for inventors. Also, that may be against the purpose of the Patent Act, that is, to contribute to industrial progress.

When an application is filed after being disclosed, under certain conditions, such prior disclosures do not destroy the novelty of the claimed invention.

Exceptions to loss of novelty (Art. 30)

Note: "Exceptions to loss of novelty" is basically synonymous with a "grace period" and may be called "exceptions to lack of novelty" in some references.

(Source) Outline of the Examination Guidelines for Patent and Utility Model
https://www.jpo.go.jp/tetuzuki_e/t_tokkyo_e/outline_guideline_patents.htm
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2. Exceptions to Loss of Novelty

Effect of applying the exception

Whenever the exception is applied, prior disclosures may not constitute the state of the art for the determination of novelty or inventive step of claimed subject matter.

- Disclosure of A
  - Disclosure or filing by other person
  - Prior disclosure by the applicant themselves destroys neither novelty or inventive step.

- Filing of A
  - Application of Art. 30

- This is not the case when the date of prior disclosure is regarded as the filing date. Therefore, the application may be rejected based on disclosing or filing of the same subject matter between them by third person.

- Note that each jurisdiction has different laws for these exceptions, called "grace period."

(Source) Outline of the Examination Guidelines for Patent and Utility Model
https://www.jpo.go.jp/tetuzuki_e/t_tokkyo_e/outline_guideline_patents.htm
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2. Requirements for Exception to Loss of Novelty

Requirement 1 (Art. 30(2))

- Filed within 1 year of the disclosure
- Disclosure is the result of any action by the right holder who filed the application

Requirement 2 (Art. 30(1)) *No declaration is required.

- Filed within 1 year of the disclosure
- Prior disclosure was made against the will of the right holder.

Notes: Due to the revision of Article 30 of the Patent Act (effective on June 9, 2018), the "grace period" is expanded to one year from six months. The expanded "grace period" applied to the inventions being published on or after December 9, 2017 and filed on or after June 9, 2018.

Revision of Examination Guidelines related to Exceptions to Loss of Novelty http://www.jpo.go.jp/tetuzuki_e/t_tokkyo_e/h3006_kaitai_e.htm
Scope of "Grace Period" in Japan

✓ Old (Before 2011 Revision of Patent Act)

Before April 1, 2012: Old Article 30 Paragraphs (1)-(3)
Limited to inventions made public through:
- the implementation of a test;
- presentation in a printed publication;
- presentation in an electric telecommunication line
  \(\textbf{not including broadcasting}\);
- writing presented at an academic conference designated by
  the Commissioner of the Patent Office; or
- display at a specific exhibition etc., or

Against the will (Old paragraph (2))
Scope of "Grace Period" in Japan

✓ Current (After 2011 Revision of Patent Act)

On or After April 1, 2012: New Article 30 Paragraphs (1)-(2)
- **Against the will** (Current paragraph (1) = Old paragraph (2))

- "Invention which has fallen under any of the items of Article 29, paragraph (1) as a result of an act of the person having the right to obtain a patent" (Current paragraph (2) > Old paragraphs (1)&(3))

[Note]
Article 29, paragraph (1) = Novelty:
1. Known to public, 2. Worked/Used in public, 3. Publication

(Reference) Patent Act (Tentative translation)  

*As of June 2018, this translation does not reflect the 2018 revision of Patent Act*
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✓ Current (After 2011 Revision of Patent Act)

On or After April 1, 2012: New Article 30 Paragraph (2)

<Allowable Examples>
- Inventions made public at meetings and seminars, which are NOT academic conference designated by the Commissioner of the Patent Office
  - Inventions made public on TV or radio
  - Inventions made public through sales

<Unallowable Examples>
- Inventions contained in a gazette relating to an invention, utility model, design or trademark
### Comparison between Japan and the U.S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disclosed by:</th>
<th>Japan Article 30(2)</th>
<th>U.S. Section 102(b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person having the right to obtain a patent (ex. inventor etc.)</td>
<td>Inventor; Joint inventor; &quot;Another who obtained the subject matter&quot; etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| How made public or disclosed? | Inventions made public as a result of an act of the "person having the right to obtain a patent" | As prescribed in Sec. 102(a)(1)&(2) |

| How long? | 1 year* before the JP filing (incl. PCT filing)  
*See the notes of Slide 5 (i.e. at most, 1 year from disclosure) | 1 year before the effective filing date (i.e. max. 2 years from disclosure) |

| Required Procedures | Submission of Statement and Proof  
"Due Date for Submission"  
for Non-PCT: Statement = At the time of filing  
Proof = Within 30 days from filing  
for PCT: Within 30 days from the date on which the "national processing standard time (typically, submission of translation)" for a PCT national entry into Japan | Statement, Affidavit or Declaration  
(37 CFR §1.77(b)(6) and §1.130)  
"Due Date for Submission"  
At the time of filing or later |

*See the notes of Slide 5
Statement and Proof required in Japan

Key elements to prepare the statement and proof

- How to state the "Facts of Publication" (Sec. 3.3 of "Operational Guidelines")
  ex. Displays at shows, trade fairs or exhibitions
  Sale or distribution
  Press conferences or performance at a live program on TV or radio

- How to state the "Facts of Succession to the Right to Obtain a Patent, etc." (Sec. 3.4 of "Operational Guidelines")

- How to prove if the invention is disclosed twice or more "by the inventor etc." or "by another" (Sec. 4 of "Operational Guidelines")
  cf. New US Sec. 102 (b)(1)(B) and (b)(2)(B)

(Source) Procedures for Seeking the Application of Exceptions to Loss of Novelty of Invention, corresponding to the Patent Act Article 30
http://www.jpo.go.jp/tetuzuki_e/t_tokkyo_e/e_pae_paa30.htm
* This page provides a link to a provisional English translation of the "Operational Guidelines" for Applicants to Seek the Application of Exceptions to Loss of Novelty of Invention, corresponding to the Patent Act Article 30 revised in 2018.
Case Example: "Presentation at Conference in the U.S."

- How to state the "Facts of Publication"
  <Example set of required information>
  (i) Date of the meeting (the date on which the invention was presented)
  (ii) Name and place of the meeting
  (iii) Person(s) who published the invention
  (iv) Contents of the invention published (state in enough detail to specify the subject to be proved)

- How to state the "Facts of Succession to the Right to Obtain a Patent, etc. " if the inventors did not correspond to the presenters
  <Examples>
  (i) The inventors are obligated to assign all inventions to the company (i.e. applicant) as part of their employment agreement; and
  (ii) One of the inventors requested and authorized the presenters to make the presentation on behalf of the applicant.
Conclusion

✓ Key points of the "grace period" system in Japan

1) The "grace period" is available in Japan and called "exceptions to loss of novelty."

2) The "grace period" in Japan is limited to 1 year before an actual filing date in Japan or a PCT filing date (not a priority date).

3) In Japan, the "statement" is required at the time of filing, and the "proof" with sufficient information is required within 30 days* from the date of filing.

*Exception: Within 30 days from the date on which the "national processing standard time (typically, submission of translation)" for a PCT national entry into Japan
Appendix:
Detailed Comparison between "Exceptions to Loss of Novelty" in Japan and "Grace Period" in the U.S.
Detailed Comparison between "Exceptions to Loss of Novelty" in Japan and "Grace Period" in the U.S. (1)

**US**

1 year or less before the "effective filing date"

Publication "A"  US Application "A" or PCT Application "A" = Eligible for "Grace Period"

Sec. 102(b)1(A) [not prior art]

Priority Claim under Paris Convention (max. 1 yr.)

1 year or less before the "effective filing date" (= max. 2 yrs. from Publication "A")


Sec. 102(b)1(A) [not prior art]

Application "A" may be filed in the U.S. within maximum 2 years from the publication if a priority is validly claimed.
Application "A" must be filed in Japan within 1 year from the publication irrespective of priority claim.
Detailed Comparison between "Exceptions to Loss of Novelty" in Japan and "Grace Period" in the U.S. (2)

Who may obtain a patent for the same and original invention ("A"="B") in JP and US?
Detailed Comparison between "Exceptions to Loss of Novelty" in Japan and "Grace Period" in the U.S. (2)

Applicant of Application “A” may obtain a patent for the invention

JP & US

Application "A"  Application Publication "A"

US Sec. 102(a)(2)  JP Art. 29bis
("secret prior art")  (* but not for Art. 29(2) "Inventive step")

(* also for Sec. 103 "Non-obviousness")

Application "B"  Application Publication "B"

Applicant of Application “A” may obtain a patent for the invention
Who may obtain a patent if the same and original invention ("A"="B") is disclosed by the original inventors who independently invented it?
In the U.S., Applicant (earlier disclosure) of Application "A" may obtain a patent for the invention.
In Japan, both applications shall be rejected even if "Exceptions to Loss of Novelty" are sought.
Who may obtain a patent if the same and original invention ("A"="B") is disclosed by the original inventors who independently invented it and the earlier disclosure ("B") is filed later?
Detailed Comparison between "Exceptions to Loss of Novelty" in Japan and "Grace Period" in the U.S. (4)

In the U.S., Applicant (earlier disclosure) of Application "B" may obtain a patent for the invention.
In Japan, both applications shall be rejected even if "Exceptions to Loss of Novelty" are sought.
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